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## 1 Results

We consider the differential operator

$$
L=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+q, \quad q \in L^{2}=L^{2}\left(S^{1}, \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

on the interval $[0,1]$ endowed with periodic or anti-periodic boundary conditions:

$$
y(0)=y(1), \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)
$$

or

$$
y(0)=-y(1), \quad y^{\prime}(0)=-y^{\prime}(1)
$$

The corresponding differential equation

$$
-y^{\prime \prime}+q y=\lambda y
$$

is also known as Hill's equation with potential $q$.
It is well known that the spectrum of $L$ is pure point and consists of an unbounded sequence of periodic eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{0}(q)<\lambda_{1}(q) \leq \lambda_{2}(q)<\lambda_{3}(q) \leq \lambda_{4}(q)<\ldots .
$$

[^0]Equality or inequality may occur in every place with a ' $\leq$ '-sign, and one speaks of the gaps $\left(\lambda_{2 n-1}(q), \lambda_{2 n}(q)\right)$ of the potential $q$ and its gap lengths

$$
\gamma_{n}(q)=\lambda_{2 n}(q)-\lambda_{2 n-1}(q), \quad n \geq 1
$$

If some gap length is zero, one speaks of a collapsed gap, otherwise of an open gap.
The purpose of this note is to give new, short proofs of two facts relating these gap lengths to the regularity of the potential $q$. To formulate these results, denote by $H^{m}=H^{m}\left(S^{1}\right)$ the Sobolev space of $m$ times weakly differentiable functions of period 1. That is,

$$
H^{m}=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(S^{1}, \mathbb{R}\right):\|u\|_{m}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $\|u\|_{m}^{2}=|\hat{u}(0)|^{2}+\sum_{n \neq 0} n^{2 m}|\hat{u}(n)|^{2}$ is defined in terms of the discrete Fourier transform $\hat{u}$ of $u$.

The following result was first proven by Marčenko \& Ostrowskiĭ [12], using inverse spectral theory. Their approach was later simplified by Garnett \& Trubowitz [3] and generalized in [7]. For a more elementary proof see also [4, 5].

Theorem 1.1 The gap lengths satisfy

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{2 m} \gamma_{n}^{2}<\infty
$$

locally uniformly on $H^{m}$ for any $m \geq 0$.
In fact, Marčenko \& Ostrowskiĭ [12] also prove the converse statement: if the gaps of a given potential in $H^{0}$ are as above, then this potential is in $H^{m}$. For further results in this directon see also $[3,7,8]$.

The second result concerns the density of finite gap potentials, which are potentials with only a finite number of open gaps.

Theorem 1.2 Finite gap potentials are dense in $H^{m}$ for any $m \geq 0$.
This result was conjectured by Novikov [14] (see also Lax [9]) and first proven by Marčenko \& Ostrovskii [12]. See also [3, 7, 10, 11] and others, for example [13]. While these approaches use inverse spectral theory, our proof uses only asymptotic properties of some spectral data. In this respect, the first proof sans inverse spectral theory appeared in [1] for the case $m=0$.

We point out that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are used in the proof of the normal form theorem for KdV in [6], asserting that KdV admits Birkhoff coordinates in any

Sobolev space $H^{m}, m \geq 0$. The case $m=0$ of these two theorems is treated in detail in [6], while the case $m \geq 1$ is quoted from other sources. With this note we supply a proof for the case $m \geq 1$ along the same lines as for $m=0-$ as it should have appeared in [6] ...

The rest of this note is devoted to new proofs of these two results. Indeed, we also show that Theorem 1.1 holds in some complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$ for each $m \geq 0$, and that there is a similar result for quantities involving Dirichlet eigenvalues.

## 2 Some Background

Denote by $y_{1}, y_{2}$ the fundamental solution of $-y^{\prime \prime}+q y=\lambda y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1}(0, \lambda, q)=1, & y_{2}(0, \lambda, q)=0, \\
y_{1}^{\prime}(0, \lambda, q)=0, & y_{2}^{\prime}(0, \lambda, q)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

The spectrum of the operator

$$
L=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+q, \quad q \in L^{2}
$$

endowed with Dirichlet boundary conditions is called the Dirichlet spectrum of $q$ and coincides with the zero set of the entire function $y_{2}(1, \cdot, q)$. It is an unbounded sequence of Dirichlet eigenvalues

$$
\mu_{1}(q)<\mu_{2}(q)<\mu_{3}(q)<\ldots
$$

which are all simple. With each eigenvalue one can associate a unique Dirichlet eigenfunction

$$
g_{n}=\left.\frac{y_{2}}{\left\|y_{2}\right\|}\right|_{\lambda=\mu_{n}}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

Besides the $\mu_{n}$ we also need to consider the quantities

$$
\kappa_{n}(q)=\log (-1)^{n} y_{2}^{\prime}\left(1, \mu_{n}(q), q\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

which measure the terminal velocities of the eigenfunctions.
The following facts are proven in [15]. We write $\ell_{m}^{2}(n)$ for the $n$-th term of a generic sequence $x=\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ with

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{2 m}\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

and $\ell^{2}(n)$ for $\ell_{0}^{2}(n)$. Further, $[q]=\int_{0}^{1} q(x) \mathrm{d} x$ denotes the mean value of $q$.
Proposition 2.1 For each $n \geq 1, \mu_{n}$ and $\kappa_{n}$ are real analytic functions on $L^{2}$ with $L^{2}$-gradients

$$
\partial \mu_{n}=g_{n}^{2}, \quad \partial \kappa_{n}=a_{n}-\left[a_{n}\right] g_{n}^{2}
$$

where $a_{n}=\left.y_{1} y_{2}\right|_{\mu_{n}}$. Moreover,

$$
\mu_{n}=n^{2} \pi^{2}+[q]+\ell^{2}(n), \quad \kappa_{n}=\ell_{1}^{2}(n)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \mu_{n} & =1-\cos 2 \pi n x+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & \partial \kappa_{n} & =\frac{\sin 2 \pi n x}{2 \pi n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), \\
\left(\partial \mu_{n}\right)^{\prime} & =2 \pi n \sin 2 \pi n x+O(1), & \left(\partial \kappa_{n}\right)^{\prime} & =\cos 2 \pi n x+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

locally uniformly on $L^{2}$.
A similar result holds for the periodic eigenvalues $\lambda_{2 n}$ and $\lambda_{2 n-1}$, when they are simple. Only then they are analytic functions of $q$ and admit unique normalized eigenfunctions $f_{2 n}$ and $f_{2 n-1}$. Let $D_{n}=\left\{q: \lambda_{2 n-1}(q)=\lambda_{2 n}(q)\right\}$.

Proposition 2.2 For each $n \geq 1, \lambda_{2 n}$ and $\lambda_{2 n-1}$ are real analytic functions on $L^{2} \backslash D_{n}$ with $L^{2}$-gradients

$$
\partial \lambda_{2 n}=f_{2 n}^{2}, \quad \partial \lambda_{2 n-1}=f_{2 n-1}^{2}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\lambda_{2 n}, \lambda_{2 n-1}=n^{2} \pi^{2}+[q]+\ell^{2}(n)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \lambda_{2 n} & =\sin 2 \pi n\left(x-x_{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \\
\left(\partial \lambda_{2 n}\right)^{\prime} & =2 \pi n \cos 2 \pi n\left(x-x_{n}\right)+O(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

with some $0 \leq x_{n} \leq 2$ locally uniformly on $L^{2} \backslash D_{n}$. The same holds with $2 n-1$ in place of $2 n$.

This result can be deduced from the preceding proposition by noting that

$$
\lambda_{2 n}(q)=\mu_{n}\left(q_{t}\right)
$$

for a properly shifted potential $q_{t}=q(\cdot+t)$, where $t$ depends on $n$. Then also $f_{2 n}(\cdot, q)=g_{n}\left(\cdot, q_{t}\right)$.

In contrast to the eigenvalues themselves, the quantities

$$
\gamma_{n}^{2}=\left(\lambda_{2 n}-\lambda_{2 n-1}\right)^{2}, \quad \tau_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{2 n}+\lambda_{2 n-1}\right)
$$

are analytic functions of $q$ on all of $L^{2}$. The following is proven in [6].
Proposition 2.3 For each $n \geq 1, \tau_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}^{2}$ are real analytic functions on $L^{2}$, such that their $L^{2}$-gradients belong to $H^{2}$. In particular,

$$
\partial \tau_{n}=1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad\left(\partial \tau_{n}\right)^{\prime}=O(1)
$$

locally uniformly on $L^{2}$.
Actually, these three propositions hold on some complex neighbourhood of $L^{2}$ independent of $n$, with $D_{n}$ as above. See [15] for the $\mu_{n}$ and $\kappa_{n}$, and [6] for the other quantities.

## $3 \quad$ Basic Lemma and Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with a simple observation about the product of two solutions of the equation $-y^{\prime \prime}+q y=\lambda y$ for any $q$ in $H^{1}$, real or complex.

Let $\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} u(x) v(x) \mathrm{d} x$, and let $D=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$. Further, let

$$
H_{0}^{m}=\left\{u \in H^{m}:[u]=0\right\} .
$$

Basic Lemma Let $f$ and $g$ be two solutions of $-y^{\prime \prime}+q y=\lambda y$ with $q \in H^{1}$, such that either $f g$ is 1 -periodic, or $g$ vanishes at 0 and 1 . Then

$$
2 \lambda\langle f g, h\rangle=\langle f g, P h\rangle
$$

for any $h \in H_{0}^{1}$ with $P=-\frac{1}{2} D^{2}+2 q+q^{\prime} I$, where $I h=\int_{0} h(x) \mathrm{d} x$.

Remark. The right hand side is understood in the weak sense:

$$
\langle f g, P h\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle(f g)^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle f g, 2 q h+q^{\prime} I h\right\rangle .
$$

Of course, for $h \in H_{0}^{2}$, the identity holds in the strong sense as well.
Proof. One verifies by direct calculation that for any two solutions $f$ and $g$ of $-y^{\prime \prime}+q y=\lambda y$ one has

$$
L(f g)=2 \lambda D(f g)
$$

where $L=-\frac{1}{2} D^{3}+q D+D q$. Hence,

$$
2 \lambda f g=I L(f g)+c
$$

where $I u=\int_{0} u(x) \mathrm{d} x$. Pairing both sides of this equation with $h \in H_{0}^{1}$, we get

$$
2 \lambda\langle f g, h\rangle=\langle I L(f g), h\rangle,
$$

as the term $\langle c, h\rangle=c[h]$ vanishes.
We have $\left.I h\right|_{0}=0$ and $\left.I h\right|_{1}=[h]=0$ by the definition of $I$. Integration by parts thus leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle I L(f g), h\rangle & =-\langle L(f g), I h\rangle \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{2}\left((f g) h^{\prime}-(f g)^{\prime} h\right)\right|_{0} ^{1}+\langle f g, L I h\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f g$ is 1-periodic, then the boundary terms clearly vanish, since also $h$ is 1-periodic. If, on the other hand, $g$ vanishes at 0 and 1 , then

$$
(f g)^{\prime} h-\left.(f g) h^{\prime}\right|_{0} ^{1}=\left.f g^{\prime} h\right|_{0} ^{1}=\left.\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} g\right) h\right|_{0} ^{1}
$$

The last term vanishes, too, since $f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} g$ is constant by the Wronskian identity. Hence in either case,

$$
\langle I L(f g), h\rangle=\langle f g, L I h\rangle .
$$

This is the claim, since $L I=P$.
As $\gamma_{n}$ is differentiable only when it does not vanish, it is convenient to introduce

$$
\partial \gamma_{n}= \begin{cases}\partial \gamma_{n} & \text { when } \gamma_{n} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then Theorem 1.1 is contained in the following statement.
Theorem 3.1 Let $q \in H^{m}$ with $m \geq 0$. Then (i)

$$
\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, h\right\rangle=\ell_{m}^{2}(n)\|h\|_{m}
$$

for $h \in H^{m}$, and (ii)

$$
\gamma_{n}=\ell_{m}^{2}(n)
$$

Both estimates hold locally uniformly in $q$ in a complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$.
Proof. We first show that (ii) follows from (i) for each $m \geq 0$. As the complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$ may be described as a union of complex balls centered in $H^{m}$, we may connect any $q$ in this neighbourhood with the zero potential by a path

$$
q_{t}=\alpha(t) \operatorname{Re} q+\beta(t) \operatorname{Im} q, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

where $\alpha(t)=\min (2 t, 1)$ and $\beta(t)=\max (2 t-1,0)$. By the analyticity of $\gamma_{n}^{2}-$ see Proposition 2.3 - we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{s}\right)=\left.\gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{t}\right)\right|_{0} ^{s} & =\int_{A} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =2 \int_{A} \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right)\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right), \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{s} \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right)\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right), \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=\left\{t \in[0, s]: \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right) \neq 0\right\}$. Hence, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{s}\right)\right|^{2} & \leq 4 \int_{0}^{s}\left|\gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{t}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \int_{0}^{s}\left|\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right), \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq 4 \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{t}\right)\right| \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right), \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum over $0 \leq s \leq 1$ on the left hand side and cancelling terms,

$$
\left|\gamma_{n}^{2}(q)\right| \leq \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\gamma_{n}^{2}\left(q_{t}\right)\right| \leq 4 \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}\left(q_{t}\right), \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

Now (ii) follows from (i), since the estimate of (i) holds uniformly in a neighbourhood of the path $q_{t}$ by the compactness of the $t$-interval and $\left\|\dot{q}_{t}\right\|_{m} \leq 2\|q\|_{m}$ for $0 \leq t<1 / 2$ and $1 / 2<t \leq 1$.

Now we prove (i). This is done by induction on $m$, and we begin with the induction step for $m \geq 2$. It suffices to consider $n \geq 1$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{2 n-1}>0$.

Let $h \in H^{m}$. Since $\left[\partial \gamma_{n}\right]=0$, we have $\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, h\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, h_{0}\right\rangle$ for $h_{0}=h-[h]$. If $\gamma_{n} \neq 0$, the Basic Lemma together with Proposition 2.2 then gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, h\right\rangle & =\left\langle f_{2 n}^{2}-f_{2 n-1}^{2}, h_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n}}\left\langle f_{2 n}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n-1}}\left\langle f_{2 n-1}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n}}\left\langle f_{2 n}^{2}-f_{2 n-1}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle+\left(\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n}}-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n-1}}\right)\left\langle f_{2 n-1}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, h\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n}}\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle-\frac{\gamma_{n}}{2 \lambda_{2 n} \lambda_{2 n-1}}\left\langle f_{2 n-1}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last identity also holds when $\gamma_{n}=0$, where $f_{2 n-1}$ could be any normalized eigenfunction for $\lambda_{2 n}=\lambda_{2 n-1}$. So this identity holds everywhere.

We have $P h_{0} \in H^{m-2}$ with $\left\|P h_{0}\right\|_{m-2}=O\left(\|h\|_{m}\right)$. By the induction hypotheses and $\lambda_{2 n} \sim n^{2}$ we thus obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n}}\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle=n^{-2} \ell_{m-2}^{2}(n)\left\|P h_{0}\right\|_{m-2}=\ell_{m}^{2}(n)\|h\|_{m}
$$

for the first term. As to the second term, note that $\gamma_{n}=O\left(n^{-m+2}\right)$ by the induction hypothesis and $f_{2 n-1}^{2}=O(1)$ to obtain

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n}}{2 \lambda_{2 n} \lambda_{2 n-1}}\left\langle f_{2 n-1}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle=O\left(n^{-m-2}\right)\left\|P h_{0}\right\|_{m-2}=\ell_{m}^{2}(n)\|h\|_{m}
$$

as well. This completes the induction step.
It remains to establish (i) for $m=0$ and $m=1$. For $m=0$, this is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2. For $m=1$, we interpret (1) in the weak sense, writing

$$
\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2 n}}\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(\partial \gamma_{n}\right)^{\prime}, h_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\partial \gamma_{n},\left(P-P_{0}\right) h_{0}\right\rangle,
$$

and similary

$$
\left\langle f_{2 n-1}^{2}, P h_{0}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(f_{2 n-1}^{2}\right)^{\prime}, h_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle f_{2 n-1}^{2},\left(P-P_{0}\right) h_{0}\right\rangle
$$

where $P_{0}=\left.P\right|_{q=0}=-\frac{1}{2} D^{2}$. The claim then follows with the asymptotic formulas of Proposition 2.2 for $\partial \gamma_{n}$ and $f_{2 n-1}^{2}$ and their derivatives.

## 4 Further Auxiliary Results

In this section we use the approach of the previous section to give new, short proofs of asymptotic estimates for $\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}$ and $\kappa_{n}$ and their $L^{2}$-gradients introduced in section 2. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{n}=\cos 2 \pi n x, \\
& s_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \sin 2 \pi n x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} u(x) v(x) \mathrm{d} x$ as before.
Proposition 4.1 Let $q \in H^{m}$ with $m \geq 0$. Then (i)

$$
\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}, h\right\rangle=\left\langle s_{n}, h\right\rangle+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{m+2}}\right)\|h\|_{m}
$$

for $h \in H^{m}$, and (ii)

$$
\kappa_{n}=\left\langle s_{n}, q\right\rangle+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{m+2}}\right) .
$$

Both estimates hold locally uniformly in $q$ in a complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$.
Proof. Again, (ii) follows from (i). Using the same path $q_{t}$ as in the proof of the Basic Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{n}(q)=\left.\kappa_{n}\left(q_{t}\right)\right|_{0} ^{1} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}\left(q_{t}\right), \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\left\langle s_{n}, q\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}\left(q_{t}\right)-s_{n}, \dot{q}_{t}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result.
To prove (i) by induction, let first $m \geq 2$ and $h \in H^{m}$. It suffices to consider $n \geq 1$ with $\mu_{n}>0$. As, by Proposition 2.1,

$$
\partial \kappa_{n}=a_{n}-\left[a_{n}\right] g_{n}^{2}
$$

has mean value zero, $\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}, h\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}, h_{0}\right\rangle$ for $h_{0}=h-[h]$. The Basic Lemma and the induction hypothesis then give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}, h\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}}\left\langle\partial \kappa_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}}\left(\left\langle s_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle+O\left(n^{-m}\right)\left\|P h_{0}\right\|_{m-2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}}\left\langle s_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle+O\left(n^{-m-2}\right)\|h\|_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, with $P_{0}=\left.P\right|_{q=0}$ and $\mu_{n}^{o}=\left.\mu_{n}\right|_{q=0}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}}\left\langle s_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}^{0}}\left\langle s_{n}, P_{0} h_{0}\right\rangle+\frac{\mu_{n}^{0}-\mu_{n}}{2 \mu_{n}^{0} \mu_{n}}\left\langle s_{n}, P_{0} h_{0}\right\rangle \\
+\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}}\left\langle s_{n},\left(P-P_{0}\right) h_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

The last two terms are again bounded by $O\left(n^{-m-2}\right)\|h\|_{m}$ by standard estimates for Fourier coefficients, while

$$
\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}^{0}}\left\langle s_{n}, P_{0} h_{0}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} n^{2}}\left\langle s_{n}, h^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle s_{n}, h\right\rangle
$$

by integration by parts, the boundary terms vanishing by the periodicity of $c_{n} h$. This completes the induction step.

The claim for $m=0$ and $m=1$ follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 from the asymptotic formulas for $\partial \kappa_{n}$ in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 4.2 Let $q \in H^{m}$ with $m \geq 0$. Then (i)

$$
\left\langle\partial\left(\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right), h\right\rangle=\left\langle c_{n}, h\right\rangle+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\right)\|h\|_{m}
$$

for $h \in H^{m}$, and (ii)

$$
\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}=\left\langle c_{n}, q\right\rangle+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\right)
$$

Both estimates hold locally uniformly in $q$ in a complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$.

Proof. Again, (ii) follows from (i) as in the previous proof. To prove (i) by induction, we note that also $\partial\left(\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)$ has mean value zero, whence

$$
\left\langle\partial\left(\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right), h\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial\left(\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right), h_{0}\right\rangle
$$

for $h_{0}=h-[h]$. If $\gamma_{n} \neq 0$ and $n$ is sufficiently large, the Basic Lemma then gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial\left(\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right), h\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\partial \lambda_{2 n}+\partial \lambda_{2 n-1}, h_{0}\right\rangle-\left\langle\partial \mu_{n}, h_{0}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=2 n-1}^{2 n} \frac{1}{2 \lambda_{m}}\left\langle\partial \lambda_{m}, P h_{0}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 \mu_{n}}\left\langle\partial \mu_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \tau_{n}}\left\langle\partial\left(\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right), P h_{0}\right\rangle-\frac{\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}}{2 \tau_{n} \mu_{n}}\left\langle\partial \mu_{n}, P h_{0}\right\rangle \\
& +\sum_{m=2 n-1}^{2 n} \frac{\tau_{n}-\lambda_{m}}{4 \tau_{n} \lambda_{m}}\left\langle\partial \lambda_{m}, P h_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then the last sum vanishes, since $\tau_{n}-\lambda_{m} \rightarrow 0$, while $\partial \lambda_{m}$ stays bounded in $L^{2}$. Hence the last identity also makes sense for $\gamma_{n}=0$, if the sum is understood to be zero.

From this point on, one argues as in the previous proof, using

$$
\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{m-2}}\right)
$$

by the induction hypothesis and standard estimates of Fourier coefficients. The same applies to $\tau_{n}-\lambda_{2 n}$ and $\tau_{n}-\lambda_{2 n-1}$, since a periodic eigenvalue coincides with the corresponding Dirichlet eigenvalue of a properly shifted potential.

To summarize the results of this section, let

$$
\alpha_{n}:=\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}+2 \pi \mathrm{i} n \kappa_{n}
$$

and $e_{n}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} n x}$.
Theorem 4.3 For each $n \geq 1, \operatorname{Re} \alpha_{n}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{n}$ are real analytic on $H^{m}$, with

$$
\alpha_{n}=\left\langle e_{n}, q\right\rangle+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{m+1}}\right)
$$

This estimate holds locally uniformly on a complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$.

Remark. With the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 4.3 we have given an elementary argument for Proposition B. 9 in [6, p. 199], stating that

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{2 m}\left(\left|\gamma_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|\tau_{n}-\mu_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=O(1)
$$

locally uniformly on a small complex neighbourhood of $H^{m}$.

## $5 \quad$ Proof of Theorem 1.2

It suffices to prove the density of finite gap potentials within the spaces

$$
H_{0}^{m}=\left\{q \in H^{m}:[q]=0\right\}
$$

of potentials of vanishing mean value, since adding a constant to a potential just shifts the entire spectrum, leaving the gap lengths unchanged.

Rather than the gap lengths, however, we consider the quantities $\alpha_{n}$ introduced above in view of the following simple observation.

Lemma 5.1 For $q$ in $L_{0}^{2}$ and any $n \geq 1$,

$$
\gamma_{n}(q)=0 \quad \text { iff } \quad \alpha_{n}(q)=0
$$

Proof. Fix $q$ and $n$. If $\gamma_{n}=0$, then $\mu_{n}=\tau_{n}$, and the $n$-th Dirichlet eigenfunction $g_{n}$ is also a periodic or anti-periodic eigenfunction. But then

$$
\left|y_{2}^{\prime}\left(1, \mu_{n}\right)\right|=1
$$

whence also $\kappa_{n}=0$, and thus $\alpha_{n}=0$.
Conversely, if $\alpha_{n}=0$, then $\kappa_{n}=0$ implies that $g_{n}$ is a periodic or antiperiodic eigenfunction, hence $\mu_{n}$ is also a periodic eigenvalue. Since in addition $\mu_{n}=\tau_{n}$, the corresponding gap must be collapsed, whence $\gamma_{n}=0$.

Consider now the map

$$
A: \quad H_{0}^{m} \rightarrow h^{m}, \quad q \mapsto\left(\alpha_{n}(q)\right)_{n \geq 1}
$$

where $h^{m}$ is the Hilbert space of all complex sequences $v=\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ with

$$
\|v\|_{m}^{2}=\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{2 m}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem A. 5 in [6] this map is analytic. By the previous lemma, $q$ is a finite gap potential, iff all but finitely many coordinates of $A(q)$ vanish.

To prove Theorem 1.2, however, it is rather more convenient to consider the map

$$
G=A \circ \Phi: \quad h^{m} \rightarrow h^{m},
$$

where

$$
\Phi: \quad h^{m} \rightarrow H_{0}^{m}, \quad\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \mapsto 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1} \xi_{n} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} n x}
$$

is the inverse of the restriction of the discrete Fourier transform to $H_{0}^{m}$. Since $\Phi$ is a linear isomorphism it suffices to prove the following statement, which also contains the statement made in Remark 2 in [6, p. 206].

Proposition 5.2 For $\xi$ in a dense subset of $h^{m}$, with $m \geq 0$, all but finitely many coordinates of $G(\xi)$ vanish.

Proof. In view of Proposition 4.3, the map $G$ is real analytic, when considered as a map

$$
(\operatorname{Re} \xi, \operatorname{Im} \xi) \mapsto(\operatorname{Re} G(\xi), \operatorname{Im} G(\xi))
$$

It is of the form $I+K$, where $K$ maps $h^{m}$ into a smaller space $h^{m+\sigma}, 0<\sigma<1 / 2$. It follows with Cauchy's inequality that on some ball around any given point in $h^{m}$, the Jacobian $\mathrm{d} K$ is uniformly bounded as a linear map $h^{m} \rightarrow h^{m+\sigma}$. Consequently,

$$
\left\|T_{N} \mathrm{~d} K\right\|_{m} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

on the same ball for all sufficiently large $N$ in the operator norm on $h^{m}$, where $T_{N}$ denotes the projection onto all except the first $N$ coordinates in $h^{m}$.

Now fix $\xi^{\mathrm{o}}$ in $h^{m}$, and let $\varepsilon>0$ be so small that the preceding estimate holds on the $4 \varepsilon$-ball $B$ around $\xi^{0}$ for all sufficiently large $N$. We may then fix $N$ so large that also

$$
\left\|T_{N} G\left(\xi^{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right\|_{m}<\varepsilon
$$

Writing $\xi=\xi_{N}+\zeta_{N}$ with $\zeta_{N}=T_{N} \xi$ we then have

$$
T_{N} G(\xi)=T_{N} G\left(\xi_{N}+\zeta_{N}\right)=\zeta_{N}+T_{N} K\left(\xi_{N}+\zeta_{N}\right)
$$

with

$$
\left\|\mathrm{d}_{\zeta_{N}} T_{N} K\right\|_{m} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

uniformly on $B$. The map

$$
\zeta_{N} \mapsto \zeta_{N}+T_{N} K\left(\xi_{N}^{\mathrm{o}}+\zeta_{N}\right)
$$

is thus a local diffeomorphism, and by the inverse function theorem the image of the ball $\left\|\zeta_{N}\right\|_{m}<4 \varepsilon$ under this map covers a ball of radius $2 \varepsilon$ around $T_{N} G\left(\xi^{0}\right)$. Consequently, in view of $\left\|T_{N} G\left(\xi^{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right\|_{m}<\varepsilon$, there exists $\xi^{\mathrm{s}}=\xi_{N}^{\mathrm{o}}+\zeta_{N}^{\mathrm{s}}$ with

$$
\left\|\xi^{\mathrm{s}}-\xi^{\mathrm{o}}\right\|_{m}=\left\|\zeta_{N}^{\mathrm{s}}-\zeta_{N}^{\mathrm{o}}\right\|_{m}<4 \varepsilon
$$

such that $T_{N} G\left(\xi^{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, this proves the claim.

Remark. The proof incidentally shows that there exists a finite gap potential with any finite number of Fourier coefficients prescribed arbitrarily.
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