

MR723603 (86c:35170) [35R35](#) ([47H15](#) [76B10](#))

Tôn, Bùi An (3-BC)

Free boundary problem for a two-layer inviscid incompressible fluid.

Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **5** (1983), *no. 4*, 476–490.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of a local (in time) classical solution. The approach to Lagrangian coordinates of Solonnikov is used; the method of successive approximations is applied twice, once correctly (p. 486). But the second application (p. 489, lines 15–16) reproduces a tempting error that the author committed on p. 178 of his earlier paper [J. Math. Anal. Appl. **94** (1983), no. 1, 166–180; [MR0701455 \(85i:35122\)](#)]. Let us point it out: Consider a Banach space X , a continuous map $F: X \rightarrow X$ and a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X such that $x_{n+1} = F(x_n)$; by Cantor's diagonalization extract a subsequence $\{x_{n_p}\}$ that weakly converges to a point x of X ; the author's assertion that $x = F(x)$ is not well founded; even when the weak convergence of $\{x_{n_p}\}$ implies the weak convergence of $\{F(x_{n_p})\}$, i.e. of $\{x_{n_p+1}\}$ to some $x' = F(x) \in X$, then x' may differ from x . For instance, assume $F(x) \equiv -x$ and $x_1 \neq 0$; then $x_n = (-1)^{n-1}x_1$; subsequences of $\{x_n\}$ can only converge to x_1 or $-x_1$, which do not satisfy $x = F(x)$.

Reviewed by [Jean Leray](#)

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 1986, 2006