More on pseudodifferential calculus norms

J. Nourrigat joint works with L. Amour, L. Jager and R. Lascar

Conference in honor of B. Helffer Nantes, april 2019

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



- Norms of pseudodiff. operators.
- Formulas for the Weyl and anti-Wick symbols.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Examples in Physics.

References for Section 1.

- [1] L. Amour, L. Jager, J. Nourrigat, On bounded pseudodifferential operators in Wiener spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), no. 9, 2747-2812.
- [2] L. Amour, R. Lascar, J. Nourrigat, Weyl calculus in Wiener spaces and in QED, Journal of Pseudo-Diff. Op. and appl. 10 (2019) no 1, p. 1-47.

DOI 10.1007/s11868-018-0269-5. (Sections 1 and 2)

Sect. 1. Weyl quantization.

For each operator A from S(ℝⁿ) to S'(ℝⁿ), the Weyl symbol σ^{weyl}(A) is the element of S'(ℝ²ⁿ) related to the distribution kernel K_A by:

$$\mathcal{K}_{A}(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \sigma^{weyl}(A)\left(\frac{x+y}{2},\xi\right) d\xi$$
$$\sigma^{weyl}(A)(x,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-it\cdot\xi} \mathcal{K}_{A}(x+(t/2),x-(t/2)) dt$$

Given F in S'(ℝ²ⁿ), let Op^{weyl}(F) the operator from S(ℝⁿ) to S'(ℝⁿ) whose Weyl symbol is F.

Sect. 1. Class $S^m(H^2, Q)$ of pseudodiff. symbols.

Let *H* be a Hilbert space.

• Let Q be a positive quadratic form on H^2 . Let $S^m(H^2, Q)$ $(m \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup \infty)$ the space of $F \in C^m(H^2)$ s.t, for some $C_m(f) \ge 0$:

 $|(d^k F)(X)(U_1,\ldots,U_k)| \leq C_m(f)Q(U_1)^{1/2}\ldots Q(U_k)^{1/2}$

for all $k \leq m$, for $X, U_1, ..., U_k$ in H^2 . The best $C_m(f)$ is denoted by $||F||_{m,Q}$ or $||F||_{\infty,Q}$.

It is well known (Calderón Vaillancourt) that, if F is in $S^{4n}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, Q)$, then $Op^{weyl}(F)$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Sect. 1. Notations for the norm estimate.

• The quadratic form Q defining the class is:

$$Q(X) = Q_A(X) = \langle AX, X \rangle \qquad X \in {\rm I\!R}^{2n}$$

• Set
$$\mathcal{F}(x,\xi) = (-\xi,x)$$
.

- The product *FA* is called "fundamental matrix".
- Let |FA|_A the absolute value of FA (for the scalar product of Q).
 - $(\mathcal{F}A)^*$ adjoint of $\mathcal{F}A$ for the scalar product of Q. We have $(\mathcal{F}A)^* = -\mathcal{F}A$

■ |FA|_A is the positive self-adjoint operator (for the scalar product of Q), whose square is (FA)*FA.

Sect. 1. Our norm estimate.

Theorem

If $F \in S^{4n}({\rm I\!R}^{2n},Q)$, one has:

$$\|Op^{weyl}(F)\| \leq \|F\|_{4n,Q_A} \left[\det\left(I + 81\pi K|\mathcal{F}A|_A\right)\right]^{1/2}$$

where

$$K = \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{Q_A})$$

and $||\mathcal{F}A||_{Q_A}$ is the norm of $\mathcal{F}A$ for the norm $Q_A^{1/2}$.

Sect. 1. The usual hypothesis of L. Hörmander.

• The dual form of Q_A w.r.t. the symplectic form σ is:

$$Q^{\sigma}_{A}(X) = \sup_{Y} rac{|\sigma(X,Y)|^2}{Q_A(Y)} = (A^{-1}\mathcal{F}X) \cdot (\mathcal{F}X),$$

- The usual hypothesis $Q_A \leq Q_A^\sigma$ reads as $\|\mathcal{F}A\|_{Q_A} \leq 1$.
- With this hypothesis, we have $K = \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{Q_A}) = 1$.
- L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators*, Volume III, Springer, 1985.

Sect. 1. Toward infinite dimension (1).

We are given a Hilbert space H and:

- A function $F \in C^{\infty}(H^2)$.
- A positive quadratic form Q on H^2 .
- For each finite dim. subspace $E \subset H$, let F_E be the restriction of F to E^2 .
- We define an op. $Op^{weyl}(F_E)$, bounded in $L^2(E)$.

Question When is the norm of $Op^{weyl}(F_E)$ bounded independently of *E*?

Sect. 1. Toward infinite dimension(2)

It suffices that:

- We have $F \in S^{\infty}(H^2, Q)$. Hence F is analytic.
- We have $Q(X) = \langle AX, X \rangle$, with A trace class. Because:

$$\det \left(I + 81\pi K h |\mathcal{F}A|_A \right) \leq e^{81\pi K h \operatorname{Tr}(|\mathcal{F}A|_A)} \leq e^{81\pi K h \operatorname{Tr}(A)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Sect. 1. Base of the proof: result with L. Jager.

Let $H = \mathbb{IR}^n$. Let (x, ξ) the variable of H^2 .

Theorem

Let $F: H^2 \to \mathbf{C}$ s. t.

$$|\partial_x^lpha \partial_\xi^eta F(x,\xi)| \leq M \prod_{j\geq 1} arepsilon_j^{lpha_j+eta_j}$$

for each (α, β) s.t. $0 \le \alpha_j \le 2$ and $0 \le \beta_j \le 2$ for all j. Then:

$$\|Op^{weyl}(F)\| \le M \prod_{j \ge 1} (1 + 81\pi S \varepsilon_j^2)$$

where $S = \sup_j \max(1, \varepsilon_j^2)$.

Sect. 1. Proof of the result with L. Jager.

Let F as above. For each subset $I \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, set:

$$F_I = \prod_{j \in I} \left(I - e^{\frac{1}{4}\Delta_j}\right) \prod_{k \notin I} e^{\frac{1}{4}\Delta_k}$$

By results in dimension 1, we have:

$$\|Op_h^{weyl}(F)\| \le M \prod_{j \in I} (81\pi S\varepsilon_j^2)$$

We have:

$$F = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} F_I$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The result follows.

Sect. 1. Main Proof (1).

There exist a symplectic linear map χ such that:

$$(Q_A \circ \chi)(x,\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j (x_j^2 + \xi_j^2)$$

where the λ_j are the eigenvalues of the operator $|\mathcal{F}A|_A$. There is a metaplectic unitary transform U_{χ} satisfying:

$$U_{\chi}^{\star}Op^{weyl}(F)U_{\chi}=Op^{weyl}(F\circ\chi).$$

For any $F \in S_{4n}(H^2, Q_A)$, one has:

$$\|Op^{weyl}(F)\| = \|U_{\chi}^{\star}Op^{weyl}(F)U_{\chi}\| = \|Op^{weyl}(F \circ \chi)\|.$$

The symbol $F \circ \chi$ belongs to $S_{4n}(H^2, Q_A \circ \chi)$.

Section 1. Main Proof (2).

Therefore we have, if $|\alpha + \beta| \le 4d$:

$$|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}(F\circ\chi)(x,\xi)| \leq \|F\|_{4n,Q_A} \prod_j \lambda_j^{(1/2)(\alpha_j+\beta_j)},$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

In particular true if $\alpha_j \leq 2$ and $\beta_j \leq 2$ for all j.

Sect. 1. Main Proof (3).

By the thm with L. Jager, with $\varepsilon_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j}$, one has

$$\|Op^{weyl}(F\circ\chi)\|\leq \|F\|_{4n,Q_A}\prod_{j=1}^n(1+81\pi S\lambda_j),$$

with

$$S = \sup_{j} \max(1, \lambda_j) = \max(1, \|\mathcal{F}A\|_{H^2, q_A}) = K$$

Therefore:

$$\|Op^{weyl}(F)\| \leq \|F\|_{4n,Q_A} \prod_{j=1}^d (1+81\pi S\lambda_j).$$

$$=\prod_{j=1}^{n} (1+81\pi S\lambda_j) = \det(I + 81\pi K |\mathcal{F}A|_A)^{1/2}$$

(each λ_j) is double).

n

Sect. 2. References for Section 2.

[4] L. Amour, J. Nourrigat, Integral formulas for the Weyl and anti-Wick symbols, To be published in: Journal de Math. pures et Appl.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Sect. 2. Weyl symbol and coherent states.

Coherent states.

$$\Psi_{x,\xi}(u) = \pi^{-n/4} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u-x|^2 + iu \cdot \xi - \frac{i}{2}x \cdot \xi}$$

Main property. For f in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$f = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathrm{I\!R}^{2n}} \langle f, \Psi_X \rangle \Psi_X dX$$

We want a formula for the Weyl symbol of A using the $\langle A\Psi_X, \Psi_Y \rangle$ (see Unterberger characterization).

A. Unterberger, *Les opérateurs métadifférentiels*, in Lecture Notes in Physics 126 (1980) 205-241.

Sect. 2. Formula for the Weyl symbol.

Theorem

Let A be a bounded operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that:

$$\sup_{X\in\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\left|\frac{\langle A\Psi_{X+Z},\Psi_{X-Z}\rangle}{\langle\Psi_{X+Z},\Psi_{X-Z}\rangle}\right|\frac{e^{-|Z|^2}dZ}{\pi^n}<\infty$$

Then the Weyl symbol F of A is continuous and:

$$F(X) = \int_{\mathrm{I\!R}^{2n}} \frac{\langle A\Psi_{X+Z}, \Psi_{X-Z} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{X+Z}, \Psi_{X-Z} \rangle} \frac{e^{-|Z|^2} dZ}{\pi^n}$$

Sjöstrand Wiener.

Sect. 2. Weyl symbol formula: proof (1).

(Berezin, Folland) For each operator A bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a function Φ holomorphic in \mathbb{C}^{2n} s.t, for each $X = (x, \xi) = x + i\xi$ and $Y = (y, \eta) = y + i\eta$:

$$\frac{\langle A\Psi_X, \Psi_Y \rangle}{\langle \Psi_X, \Psi_Y \rangle} = \Phi(x + i\xi, y - i\eta)$$

The above hypothesis reads:

$$\sup_{X\in\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\pi^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\left|\Phi(X+Z,\overline{X}-\overline{Z})\right|e^{-|Z|^{2}}dZ<\infty$$

The Weyl symbol *F* must satisfy:

$$e^{\frac{1}{4}\Delta}F(x,\xi) = \Phi(x+i\xi,x-i\xi)$$

Sect. 2. Weyl symbol formula: proof (2).

Let Φ , holomorphic in \mathbf{C}^{2n} , satisfying the above condition. For $\lambda > 0$, set

$$F_{\lambda}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \Phi(X + Z, \overline{X - Z}) \frac{e^{-\frac{|Z|^2}{2\lambda}} dZ}{(2\pi\lambda)^n}$$

Then:

$$e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\Delta}F(x,\xi) = \Phi(x+i\xi,x-i\xi)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thus, $F_{1/2}$ is the Weyl symbol.

Sect. 2. Weyl-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

Theorem

The Weyl symbol satisfies:

$$F(X) = \pi^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left\langle e^{-\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(Z)} A e^{\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(Z)} \Psi_X, \Psi_X \right\rangle e^{-|Z|^2} dZ$$

where

$$\Phi_{S}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(z_{j} u_{j} + \zeta_{j} \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}} \right)$$

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

Sect. 2. Weyl-Campbell-Hausdorff. Proof.

First, we prove that:

$$e^{\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(Z)}\Psi_{X}=e^{\frac{1}{2}|Z|^{2}+Z\cdot X-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(Z,X)}\Psi_{X+Z}$$

Hence:

$$\frac{\langle A\Psi_{X+Z},\Psi_{X-Z}\rangle}{\langle \Psi_{X+Z},\Psi_{X-Z}\rangle} = \left\langle e^{-\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(Z)}Ae^{\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(Z)}\Psi_{X},\Psi_{X}\right\rangle$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The theorem follows.

Sect. 2. Wick and anti-Wick symbols.

• Wick symbol of an operator A.

$$\sigma^{\mathit{Wick}}(A)(X) = < A \Psi_X, \Psi_X > \qquad X = (x, \xi)$$

• Anti-Wick operator $Op^{AW}(F)$ associated with F.

$$< Op^{AW}(F)f, g> = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathrm{I\!R}^{2n}} F(Z) < f, \Psi_Z > < \Psi_Z, g> dZ$$

Sect. 2. The anti-Wick symbol always makes sense.

Without any hypothesis, the Weyl symbol of $A : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is well-defined as a distribution.

The anti-Wick symbol exists, no more as a distribution, but as a "generalized function" of Gelfand Shilov.

Discussions with L. Amour and N. Lerner.

More precisely, if $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < \mu < 1/2$, the AW symbol is a continuous linear form on the space:

$$egin{aligned} S(\lambda,\mu) &= \left\{ arphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathrm{I\!R}^{2n}), \quad \exists A > 0, \quad |x^lpha \partial^eta arphi(x)| \leq \ &... \leq A^{|lpha|+|eta|}(lpha!)^\lambda (eta!)^\mu
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

Sect. 2. Formula for the anti-Wick symbol.

Theorem

Let A be a bounded operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t.

$$\sup_{X\in\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\left|\frac{\langle A\Psi_{X+Z},\Psi_{X-Z}\rangle}{\langle\Psi_{X+Z},\Psi_{X-Z}\rangle}\right|\frac{e^{-\frac{|Z|^2}{2}}dZ}{(2\pi)^n}<\infty$$

Then, there exists a continuous bounded function G in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , s.t. $A = Op^{AW}(G)$ and:

$$G(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{\langle A\Psi_{X+Z}, \Psi_{X-Z} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{X+Z}, \Psi_{X-Z} \rangle} \ \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|Z|^2} dZ}{(2\pi)^n}$$

Sect. 3. Modelization of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance.

• Classical model: Spin S(t) = vector in \mathbb{R}^3 satisfying

$$S'(t) = B \wedge S(t)$$

F. Bloch, Nuclear Induction, Physical Review 70 460-473, (1946).

Almog, Grebenkov, Helffer: fluid with density of spin.

- QED model: Spin S(t) = 3 operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Evolution given by a Hamiltonian H(h) in \mathcal{H} .
 - F. A. Reuse, *Electrodynamique et Optique Quantiques*, Presses Polytechniques et Universitaires Romandes, Lausanne, 2007.

References for Section 3.

- Slide 1.
 - [A] L. Amour, R. Lascar, J. Nourrigat, Weyl calculus in QED I. The unitary group. J. Math. Phys. 58 (2017), no. 1, 013501.
 - [B] L. Amour, R. Lascar, J. Nourrigat, Weyl calculus in Wiener spaces and in QED, Journal of Pseudo-Diff. Op. and appl. 10 (2019) no 1, p. 1-47. DOI 10.1007/s11868-018-0269-5. (Section 7)
- Slide 2.
 - [C] L. Amour, L. Jager, J. Nourrigat, Infinite dimensional semiclassical analysis and applications to a model in NMR, , preprint, arXiv:1705.07097.
 (Int. Congress in Math. Physics, Montreal, 2018.)

Sect. 3. The symbol class $S^{\infty}(H^2, Q)$ and NMR.

Theorem

The Reuse Hamiltonian H(h) satisfies:

$$e^{-itH(h)} = U_h(t)Op_h^{AW}(F_t))$$

where

- U_h(t) is a metaplectic (or Bogoliubov) operator.
- F_t is a fonction in $S^{\infty}(H^2, Q_t)$, where H^2 is the phase space.
- The quadratic form Q_t has the following form:

$$Q_t(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t < B_j(s), X >^2 ds$$

Sect. 3. The symbol class $S^{\infty}(H^2, Q)$ and NMR.

Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The evolving components of the spin operator

$$S_j(t) = e^{itH(h)}(I \otimes \sigma_j)e^{-itH(h)}$$

can be written

$$S_j(t) = Op_h^{AW}(F_j(t))$$

where $F_i(t) \in S^{\infty}(H^2, Q_t)$.

- The Wick symbol has an asyptotic expansion when $h \rightarrow 0$. The first term follows Bloch Equations.
- We have also an asympt. exp. for the number of photons emitted at time t.

Sect. 3. Open problems: Large time behaviour (here ibuprophen).



Sect. 3. Spin relaxation: references.

- [6] M. Hübner, H. Spohn, Radiative decay: nonperturbative approaches. Rev. Math. Phys. 7 (1995), no. 3, 363-387.
- [7] W. De Roeck, A. Kupiainen, Approach to ground state and time-independent photon bound for massless spin-boson models. Ann. Henri Poincaré 14 (2013), no. 2, 253-311.

THANKS

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ