

Some problems of singular perturbation

Luc Hillairet (Université d'Orléans)

CIMPA school on spectral theory, Kairouan, 2016

A spectral theorem

- ▶ \mathcal{H} a separable Hilbert space.
- ▶ \mathcal{D} a dense subspace of \mathcal{H}
- ▶ q a non-negative quadratic form on \mathcal{D} .

Define on \mathcal{D} the scalar product

$$\forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}, \langle u, v \rangle_q = q(u, v) + \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Assume :

1. $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_q)$ is a Hilbert space.
2. The injection from $(\mathcal{D}, \|\cdot\|_q)$ into $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}})$ is compact.

Then : there exists a Hilbert basis $(\phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{H} and a non-decreasing, unbounded, sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $[0, \infty)$ such that, for all $n \geq 1$, ϕ_n is a solution to the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \forall v \in \mathcal{D}, q(\phi_n, v) = \lambda_n \langle \phi_n, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{cases}$$

Comments

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &\rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}) \\ u &\mapsto ((u_n = \langle \phi_n, u \rangle)_{n \geq 1})\end{aligned}$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

For $s \geq 0$ we denote by \mathcal{D}_s the preimage under this isomorphism of

$$\left\{ (u_n)_{n \geq 1}, \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} |u_n|^2 < \infty. \right\}.$$

For any $u \in \mathcal{D}_2$ and any $v \in \mathcal{D}_1$ we have

$$q(u, v) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n \bar{u}_n v_n = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

By setting $f = Au$, we define a selfadjoint operator with domain \mathcal{D}_2 .

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{D}_2, \langle Au, u \rangle = q(u).$$

Observe that an eigenfunction is necessarily in $\bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_s$.

Examples

1. $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{H} = L^2((a, b))$, $\mathcal{D} = H^1((a, b))$

$$q(u) = \int_a^b |u'(x)|^2 dx + \int_a^b V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx$$

with a potential V that is continuous and non-negative.

2. The same with $\mathcal{D} = H_0^1((a, b))$.

In both cases, compact injection from H^1 into L^2 .

3. Schrödinger operator on the line with a confining potential
4. Riemannian Laplacian on a compact manifold.
5. Euclidean Laplacian on a compact domain with piecewise smooth boundary.

$$q(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2.$$

$\mathcal{D} = H_0^1$ or H^1 if the latter is defined.

6. Friedrichs extension.

Min-Max principle

For any finite dimensional vector space $F \subset \mathcal{D}$ we define

$$\Lambda(F) = \max \left\{ \frac{q(u)}{\|u\|^2}, u \in F, u \neq 0 \right\}$$

We then have

$$\lambda_n = \min \{ \Lambda(F), F \subset \mathcal{D}, \dim(F) = n \}.$$

Comments

- ▶ The proof of the spectral theorem can be derived from the following iterative construction :
 - ϕ_1 realize the minimum of $q(x)/\|x\|^2$ and $V_1 = \mathbb{C} \cdot \phi_1$
 - ϕ_{n+1} realizes the minimum of $q(x)/\|x\|^2$ on V_n^\perp and $V_{n+1} = V_n + \mathbb{C} \cdot \phi_n$.

The compactness assumption ensures the existence of ϕ_n at each step.

Regular perturbation

We want to compare a quadratic form q to a reference quadratic form a (q is a perturbation of a).

The perturbation is regular if

- ▶ The two quadratic forms a and q are defined on the same domain.
- ▶ The difference $q - a$ is small compared to a

$$\exists c < 1, \forall u \in \mathcal{D} \quad |q(u) - a(u)| \leq ca(u).$$

The Min-Max characterization of eigenvalues implies

$$\forall n, \quad |\lambda_n(a) - \lambda_n(q)| \leq c\lambda_n(a)$$

Relaxing the control estimate

When q and a are defined on the same domain then we can set $r = q - a$.

The min-max characterization then implies the following estimate :

$$\forall n, \lambda_n(q) \leq \lambda_n(a) + r_n$$

Where r_n is the largest eigenvalue of the quadratic form $q - a$ restricted to the n -dimensional vector space spanned by the first n eigenvectors of a .

Comparing

$$q(u) = \int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx + \int_0^1 V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx$$

$$a(u) = \int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx$$

on $H_0^1(]0, 1[)$, we find

$$\forall n, |\lambda_n(q) - \lambda_n(a)| \leq \|V\|_\infty.$$

Singular perturbation

The perturbation is singular when we try to compare quadratic forms that act on different domains.

Examples

- ▶ Semiclassical Schrödinger operator at $h = 0$.
- ▶ For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathcal{D} = H_0^1(\Omega)$ the family of quadratic forms

$$q_t(u) = t^2 \int_{\Omega} |\partial_x u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_y u|^2$$

becomes singular at $t = 0$.

- ▶ Changing the boundary condition is a singular perturbation.

Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing

An example : let

$$q(u) = \int_a^b |u'(x)|^2 dx + \int_a^b V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx$$

for a smooth potential V , on the domain $\mathcal{D} = H_0^1(]a, b[)$. For $c \in]a, b[$ define

$$\mathcal{D}_D = \{u \in \mathcal{D}, u(c) = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_N = \{u \in H^1(]a, b[\setminus \{c\}), u(a) = u(b) = 0\}.$$

We have $\mathcal{D}_D \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_N$ so that

$$\forall n, \lambda_n(q_N) \leq \lambda_n(q) \leq \lambda_n(q_D)$$

Application : Weyl's formula for a semiclassical Schrödinger operator with a confining potential.

Core or not core

Let q be a positive quadratic form on a domain \mathcal{D} so that (\mathcal{D}, q) is a Hilbert space. Let A be the corresponding self-adjoint operator. Let D be a subset of \mathcal{D} that is still dense in \mathcal{H} then

- ▶ either D is dense in \mathcal{D} . In that case the corresponding operator is the same and D can be used in the min-max characterization to the price of changing the min for an inf.
- ▶ Or the closure of D , denoted by \mathcal{D}_0 is associated to a different operator A_0 . Observe that

$$\forall n, \lambda_n(A) \leq \lambda_n(A_0).$$

Two applications

- ▶ Let V be a confining potential. C_0^∞ is a core for the corresponding Schrödinger operator. Denote by $(\lambda_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$ the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator on the interval $[-x, x]$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. Then

$$\forall n \geq 1, \lambda_n(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n$$

- ▶ Suppose that \mathcal{D}_0 has codimension k in \mathcal{D} then

$$\forall n \geq 1, \lambda_n(A_0) \leq \lambda_{n+k}(A).$$

(for any subspace $F \subset \mathcal{D}$ of dimension $n + k$ contains a subspace of $\dim(F \cap \mathcal{D}_0) \geq n$).